The absence of sub-minute periodicity in classical T Tauri stars
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1. Introduction

Classical T Tauri stars (CTTS) are young (<10 Myr), low-mass (<3 M_☉) pre-main sequence objects. They still actively accrete matter from a circumstellar disk. Analytical treatments and numerical simulations predict instabilities of the accretion shock on the stellar surface.

This variety of different phenomena leads to time variability on different scales. Long changes occur over years, possibly related to a slowly changing circumstellar extinction (Grankin et al. 2007). For many CTTS the dominant source of optical variability is the stellar rotation with a typical period of a few days (Rydgren & Vrba 1983; Bouvier et al. 1986; Nguyen et al. 2009). Depending on its inner radius, the rotation timescale of the inner disk and also the free-fall time from the disk onto the star is a few hours. Thus variability in the accretion rate should be limited to this timescale; indeed, variations of about 0.1 mag are regularly observed in broad-band photometry from CTTS (Smith et al. 1996; Rucinski et al. 2008).

Nevertheless, much faster variations can be expected if the accretion shock on the stellar surface is unstable. This has first been studied in the context of accretion onto white dwarfs (Langer et al. 1983; Chevalier & Imanura 1982; Imanura 1985). Even if the magnetic field is strong enough to channel the accretion stream and prevent turbulence on the boundary between accretion stream and surrounding photosphere, the shock can still fluctuate up and down. The infalling matter builds up a funnel above the stellar surface. Owing to the ram pressure of the infalling matter, the gas gets compressed and accumulates. At some point the accumulated matter is dense enough for a runaway cooling to set in and the column collapses fast. A new shock forms at the stellar surface and works its way upwards again building up a new reservoir of matter. Sacco et al. (2008) and Orlando et al. (2010) predict a typical timescale of 10 min
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Context. Classical T Tauri stars (CTTS) are young, late-type objects, that still accrete matter from a circumstellar disk. Analytical treatments and numerical simulations predict instabilities of the accretion shock on the stellar surface.

Aims. We search for variability on timescales below a few minutes in the CTTS TW Hya and AA Tau.

Methods. TW Hya was observed with SALTICAM on the Southern African Large Telescope (SALT) in narrow-band filters around the Balmer jump. The observations were performed in slit mode, which provides a time resolution of about 0.1 s. For AA Tau we obtained observations with OPTIMA, a single photon-counting device with even better time resolution.

Results. Small-scale variability typically lasts a few seconds, however, no significant periodicity is detected. We place a 99% confidence upper limit on the pulsed fraction of the lightcurves. The relative amplitude is below 0.001 for TW Hya in the frequency range 0.02–3 Hz in the 340 nm filter and 0.1–3 Hz in the 380 nm filter. The corresponding value for AA Tau is an amplitude of 0.005 for 0.02–50 Hz.

Conclusions. The relevant timescales indicate that shock instabilities should not be seen directly in our optical and UV observations, but the predicted oscillations would induce observable variations in the reddening. We discuss how the magnetic field could stabilise the accretion shock.
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This variety of different phenomena leads to time variability on different scales. Long changes occur over years, possibly related to a slowly changing circumstellar extinction (Grankin et al. 2007). For many CTTS the dominant source of optical variability is the stellar rotation with a typical period of a few days (Rydgren & Vrba 1983; Bouvier et al. 1986; Nguyen et al. 2009). Depending on its inner radius, the rotation timescale of the inner disk and also the free-fall time from the disk onto the star is a few hours. Thus variability in the accretion rate should be limited to this timescale; indeed, variations of about 0.1 mag are regularly observed in broad-band photometry from CTTS (Smith et al. 1996; Rucinski et al. 2008).

Nevertheless, much faster variations can be expected if the accretion shock on the stellar surface is unstable. This has first been studied in the context of accretion onto white dwarfs (Langer et al. 1983; Chevalier & Imanura 1982; Imanura 1985). Even if the magnetic field is strong enough to channel the accretion stream and prevent turbulence on the boundary between accretion stream and surrounding photosphere, the shock can still fluctuate up and down. The infalling matter builds up a funnel above the stellar surface. Owing to the ram pressure of the infalling matter, the gas gets compressed and accumulates. At some point the accumulated matter is dense enough for a runaway cooling to set in and the column collapses fast. A new shock forms at the stellar surface and works its way upwards again building up a new reservoir of matter. Sacco et al. (2008) and Orlando et al. (2010) predict a typical timescale of 10 min...
for the fluctuations, and the same is seen in models of Koldoba et al. (2008), but with much shorter periods of 0.02–0.2 s. The difference can be mainly attributed to different cooling functions and different input densities $n$. Since the cooling is proportional to $n^2$, different pre-shock densities heavily influence the cooling time. Koldoba et al. (2008) predict the shock luminosity to fluctuate about 50% around the mean, but caution that this value and the exact shape of the fluctuation are very model dependent. They also find that magnetic fields tend to stabilise the accretion shock, so that no oscillations occur.

The most direct tracer of the accretion shock is the soft X-ray emission. This is formed just behind the shock front, where the accreted material is heated to a $2–3$ MK (Lamzin 1998; Günther et al. 2007). Drake et al. (2009) used XMM-Newton X-ray data to search for periodicity in TW Hya, one of the best-studied CTTS. They did not detect any period and set an upper limit on the pulsed fraction of 5% at the 99% confidence level over the interval 0.001–7 Hz. TW Hya is the only target where the X-ray count rate is high enough to perform timing analyses on scales below a minute. In this paper we use a secondary tracer of the accretion shock. We obtained observations in the blue band, using optical telescopes. In the blue and the UV range, CTTS are dominated by the continuum emission from the accretion shock (Lynden-Bell & Pringle 1974; Grinin 1980; Muzerolle et al. 2000) because they are late-type objects and their photospheric emission quickly drops towards shorter wavelengths.

It is not clear from the current models how fast the optical emission reacts to shock instabilities, but observations in the visible potentially provide a diagnostic with high signal-to-noise ratio, and yield a complementary view on sub-minute variability.

We will first introduce the targets observed in Sect. 2 and the observations performed in Sect. 3. Details of the data reduction process are given in Sect. 4. In Sect. 5 we search for periodicities in the data employing Lomb-Scargle periodograms. We discuss our results in Sect. 6 and summarise them in Sect. 7.

2. Targets

2.1. TW Hya

TW Hya is the closest known CTTS with a distance of only 57 pc (Wichmann et al. 1998). Because of the absence of a surrounding molecular cloud, TW Hya is a key system for the study of CTTS. Broad Hα profiles ($FWHM \sim 200$ km s$^{-1}$) were observed by Muzerolle et al. (2000). TW Hya’s stellar parameters are $M_*=0.7 M_\odot$, $R_* \sim 1.0 R_\odot$, and its age is 10 Myr (Webb et al. 1999). The spectral type of TW Hya is K7 V-M1 V (Webb et al. 1999; Batalha et al. 2002). It is long known that the system is seen nearly pole-on (Kastner et al. 1997; Wilner et al. 2000; Alencar & Batalha 2002; Weinberger et al. 2002) with the most precise inclination of $7 \pm 1^\circ$ measured by Qi et al. (2004). Moreover, TW Hya displays variations in line profiles and veiling, which have been interpreted as signatures of accretion spot rotation (Herbst & Kores 1988; Herbst et al. 1994; Mekkada 1998; Alencar & Batalha 2002; Batalha et al. 2002).

Although relatively old for a CTTS ($\approx 10$ Myr), it is still actively accreting from a surrounding disk. Modelling of the spectral energy distribution from TW Hya requires a gap in the inner disk (Calvet et al. 2002) and Eisner et al. (2006) interferometrically resolve its disk and confirm the size of the inner hole. Herczeg et al. (2002) observed TW Hya in the UV and Herczeg et al. (2004) constrain the inner disk temperature to about 2500 K using H$_2$ fluorescence in HST/STIS and FUSE data. Early photometric observations show variability between magnitude 10.9 and 11.3 in the V-band (Rucinski & Krautter 1983). The most detailed photometric study so far found variability on timescales of hours to days with flicker noise probabilities, where the amplitude of variations $a$ depends on the frequency $f$ as $a \propto 1/\sqrt{f}$ for periods between ten days and a few hours ($10^{-6}–10^{-4}$ Hz (Rucinski et al. 2008). TW Hya also was an early target for X-ray observations with ROSAT (Costa et al. 2000), Chandra (Kastner et al. 2002; Raassen 2009; Brickhouse et al. 2010) and XMM-Newton (Stelzer & Schmitt 2004). In the X-ray range no period was found and Drake et al. (2009) placed a 99% confidence limit that, at most, 5% of the signal is pulsed in the frequency range 0.0001–6.81 Hz.

2.2. AA Tau

AA Tau is located in the Taurus-Auriga star forming region in a distance of about 140 pc. According to its parameters it is a typical CTTS with spectral type K7 (Cohen & Kuhi 1979), a mass of $\sim 0.8 M_\odot$, and radius $\sim 1.85 R_\odot$. In contrast to TW Hya, AA Tau is observed nearly edge-on (O’Sullivan et al. 2005) and periodically a warp in the disk occults the star, causing brightness variations of 1.4 mag (Herbig 1977; Vrba et al. 1989, 1993; Bouvier et al. 1999; Ménard et al. 2003). The period is 8.22 days, which seems to be the stellar rotation period (Bouvier et al. 2007). The occultation is not strictly periodic, but also variable over longer timescales. In some instances the fading of the star did not occur; this hints at changing accretion geometries (Bouvier et al. 2003). The occultation of the UV and optical emission coincides with increased column densities seen in X-ray observations (Schmitt & Robrade 2007; Grosso et al. 2007). Apart from one bright, coronal flare the X-ray luminosity is not sufficient to repeat the analysis done for TW Hya.

3. Observations

3.1. TW Hya

TW Hya was observed with the Southern African Large Telescope (SALT) for 45 min in total starting at UTC 23:59 on 28-03-2009. The spherical SALT primary mirror with a total diameter of about 11 m is segmented, fixed in elevation, and tilted about 37° from the vertical. This means that the telescope can observe only an annulus of the sky, which is 12 degrees wide. The CCD camera, SALTICAM, is positioned in a prime focus “payload”, which moves across a virtual spherical focal surface during an observation, which can last up to $\pm 2$ h. The full observation of TW Hya was scheduled in one crossing. To achieve the highest possible time resolution we used the slot mode of SALTICAM, where most of the CCD is covered by a mask and only a small strip of 144 rows is read out. With an on-chip binning of $6 \times 6$, an effective sampling period of about 0.14 s is reached. SALTICAM consists of two CCD chips with two amplifiers each. The CCDs are separated by about 1.5 mm and each of the amplifiers has a different bias level. Observations were taken in three different bands for 15 min each. The filters used, in order of observation, are U-band and two narrowband UV interference filters with central wavelength 340 nm ($FWHM$ 35 nm) and 380 nm ($FWHM$ 40 nm). TW Hya is severely overexposed in the U-band, thus this data was not included in the analysis. At the time of the observations SALTICAM operated with software version 4.78. More details on SALT and the instrument SALTICAM are presented in Buckley et al. (2008).

The design of SALT has some implications for photometry: only differential photometry is possible since the entrance pupil
of the telescopes moves with respect to the primary mirror array, thus continuously changing the effective collecting area. Also, the light is partially vignette in the slot mode due to the relative position of the slit mask and the CCD. Thus the intensity varies as a function of the CCD row.

3.2. AA Tau

AA Tau was observed with the high speed photo-polarimeter OPTIMA Burst (Optical Pulsar TIMing Analyzer) on the 1.3 m Cassegrain telescope of the Skinakas Observatory (SKO) in Greece on 07-10-2008 from 02:15 to 03:27 UT. OPTIMA Burst has several possible configurations. The photometer consists of a single photon counting fibre-fed system that contains six fibre apertures which are placed in a hexagonal form around the central fiber for the target. The apertures have a diameter of 300 micrometers each. This corresponds to 6 arcsec on the sky with the SKO telescope. An additional fibre is placed about 1 arcminute away from the fibre bundle and is used to determine the sky background. OPTIMA Burst has an intrinsic photon-arrival-time resolution of 4 microseconds and can record white light radiation in the wavelength range from 450 to 900 nm. AA Tau was observed with a blue filter (350–550 nm). The fibres are placed in a slanted mirror in the prime focus of the telescope. The incoming light is also partly reflected by the slanted mirror to a fast-readout Apogee AP6 CCD camera with a Kodak chip of KAF1000E type. It continuously takes exposures with exposure time 10 s while the telescope is tracking. They are used to position the target in the central fiber of the hexagonal bundle, thus controlling the tracking, and to monitor the seeing conditions during the observations. The arrival times of the photons in the fibre system are correlated with a GPS timing receiver. A more detailed description of the technical properties of OPTIMA Burst is given by Kanbach et al. (2008).

4. Data reduction

4.1. TW Hya

SALTICAM data reduction was performed with the PySALT package\footnote{http://www.salt.ac.za/science-support/}, which is provided by the SALT Astronomy Operations team and runs in the PYRAF environment, a Python extension to the well-known IRAF system. Due to a bug in the SALTICAM software up to version 4.78 the timing information of the exposures was inaccurate. This was corrected using the task slotutcfix. We merged the data read out from all four amplifiers into single frames with slotmerge, because the best available comparison star is recorded on a different amplifier than our target. We extracted the target and comparison star using a simple square aperture with side length of 10 pixels in each coordinate to cover the entire point-spread function (PSF). The background was median filtered and estimated from an annular box centred around the target with inner and outer dimensions of 16 and 24 pixels, respectively. The fluxes of TW Hya and one (340 nm filter) or three comparison stars (380 nm filter) were extracted with the task slotphot, which centres the extraction region on the target for each exposure. In a few exposures the algorithm cannot identify one of the stars and extracts a background region only. Those frames can easily be identified because the flux drops dramatically in the respective lightcurve for a single exposure. Those frames are removed from the lightcurve.

TW Hya is a factor of 10 and 6.5 brighter than the brightest available comparison star in the filters centred on 340 nm and 380 nm, respectively. Thus, the errors on the relative photometry are dominated by the noise in the lightcurve of the comparison star. To detect periodic signals we use the higher signal-to-noise lightcurve of TW Hya directly and search for periods in the flux ratio of both stars independently. The occurrence of the same period in more than one star would be a strong indicator for an instrumental effect.

We show the lightcurves of TW Hya and one comparison star for both filters in Figs. 1 and 2. The lightcurve of TW Hya in Fig. 2 contains two steps after about 300 s and 650 s, which are not easily visible in the lightcurve of the comparison star. The later step is likely present there, too, but hidden in the higher noise level. These steps are due to repositioning the target in the slot (an autoguider was not yet available). Such a repositioning did not take place during the observation in the 340 nm filter. TW Hya and the comparison star are affected differently, because they have different coordinates on the CCD chip, thus the steps are still present in the relative lightcurve. This introduces spurious signals for long periods and we therefore limit our analysis to the frequency range 0.02–3 Hz in the 340 nm
band and 0.1–3 Hz in the 380 nm band. We fitted the lightcurve of TW Hya with a third order polynomial over the entire 340 nm exposure (red/grey line in Fig. 1) and with separate third order polynomials for each step in the 380 nm exposure (red/grey line in Fig. 2) to remove trends before further analysis.

4.2. AA Tau

We use the data from the central fiber only, which contains essentially all the signal. The lightcurve shows some drop-outs, where no data was read out and a strong increase towards the end of the night. We selected a clean, continuous region of about 40 min before the twilight sets in for analysis. This part of the lightcurve is shown in Fig. 3, binned to 1 s. The second line shows the evolution of the full width at half maximum (FWHM) as fitted to a comparison star in the accompanying CCD images (timing resolution 10 s). Times with large FWHM in Fig. 2) to remove trends before further analysis.

We cannot correct for this effect by means of a comparison star, because the CCD requires an exposure time of 10 s, which is much longer than the sampling rate of the target. The lightcurve is mean subtracted before further analysis.

5. Analysis

We determine the typical timescale of fluctuations by the autocorrelation function. To search for periodicity we first calculated Lomb-Scargle periodograms of our lightcurves. Additionally we performed a wavelet analysis, because periodic signals with changing frequency would be washed out in a simple periodogram. We quantify the significance of our non-detection by analytic considerations and Monte-Carlo simulations.

5.1. Autocorrelation function

The autocorrelation function is defined as

$$P(L) = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{N-L} X_j X_{j+L}}{\sum_{j=1}^{N} X_j^2},$$

where $X_j$ are the values of the de-trended and mean-subtracted lightcurve and $N$ is the total number of points. $L$ is the scale of the correlation. A correlation coefficient of 1 indicates a perfect correlation, 0 the absence of a linear correlation and −1 a perfect anti-correlation. We show the auto-correlation coefficients for TW Hya in Fig. 4 for both filters. The autocorrelation of scale 0 is always 1; in TW Hya the coefficient differs significantly from the coefficient calculated for a comparison star for 2–4 s. The autocorrelation function for AA Tau is different from zero for about 10 s, however we find similar results using an intrinsically stable white dwarf from a different observation as comparison object. Apparently 10 s is the timescale of atmospheric variability at the SKO for certain weather conditions. The lightcurves of the comparison stars for AA Tau are not sufficiently densely sampled to test for this.

5.2. Lomb-Scargle periodograms

We calculated normalised Lomb-Scargle periodograms from our lightcurves with an IDL code\(^2\), which follows the method described in Scargle (1982) and Horne & Baliunas (1986). The power $P$ at a given angular frequency $\omega$ is calculated as

$$P(\omega) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{\sum_j X_j \cos \omega(t_j - \tau)}{\sum_j \cos^2 \omega(t_j - \tau)} \right]^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{\sum_j X_j \sin \omega(t_j - \tau)}{\sum_j \sin^2 \omega(t_j - \tau)} \right]^2,$$

where $j$ runs over all $N$ flux values $X_j$ observed at time $t_j$, $\tau$ is defined by

$$\tan(2\omega \tau) = \left( \sum_j \sin 2\omega t_j \right) / \left( \sum_j \cos 2\omega t_j \right).$$

In order to normalise the periodograms they are scaled with the total observed variance (Horne & Baliunas 1986). If the measurement errors are Gaussian distributed, the statistics allow us to calculate a false alarm probability (FAP) for each power level. The FAP is the probability that a lightcurve of pure noise exhibits at least one frequency with the specified power. The value 1-FAP can thus be treated as the significance of the detection of any periodic signal.

For the simple case of a sinusoidal signal with amplitude $A$ and angular frequency $\omega_0$, which is hidden in noise with variance

\(^2\) Available at http://astro.uni-tuebingen.de/software/idl/aitlib/
Fig. 5. Lomb-Scargle periodogram of the lightcurve of TW Hya (black) and the relative lightcurve of target and comparison star (red/grey) taken in the 340 nm filter. Dashed lines indicate false alarm probabilities.

Fig. 6. Lomb-Scargle periodogram of the lightcurve of TW Hya (black) and the relative lightcurve of target and comparison star (red/grey) taken in the 380 nm filter. Dashed lines indicate false alarm probabilities.

$\sigma^2_N$, the normalised power $P_N(\omega_0)$ is given by (Horne & Baliunas 1986):

$$P_N(\omega_0) = \frac{N_0}{2} \left(1 + 2 \frac{\sigma^2_N}{A^2}\right)^{-1}.$$  \hspace{0.5cm} (4)

5.2.1. TW Hya

The sampling rate of SALTICAM is 0.14 s, thus the Nyquist frequency is just above 3 Hz. In Figs. 5 and 6 the periodogram of the lightcurves of TW Hya and the relative lightcurve TW Hya and comparison star are shown. The large peaks at low frequencies in the second figure are due to the steps in the lightcurve, which we could not fully correct. No period is detected at the 99% confidence level. There are less significant peaks around 0.135 Hz in the periodogram of the relative lightcurve and 0.14 Hz and 0.155 Hz in the absolute lightcurve in the 380 nm filter. The expected uncertainty in frequency for the given length of the observation is of the order of mHz (our Eq. (4) and Kovacs 1981), so these frequencies differ significantly, where real signals should agree; they could possibly be due to aliasing from the large low-frequency peaks. In Fig. 7 one periodogram is plotted on logarithmic scales. In contrast to Rucinski et al. (2008, see their Figs. 3 and 7), who probe periods from hours to weeks, we do not observe a flicker noise but find the power level to be independent of the frequency.

5.2.2. AA Tau

Figure 8 shows a Lomb-Scargle periodogram of the AA Tau lightcurve, which was binned to 1 s, and of the corresponding background data. AA Tau shows significant peaks at very low frequencies, which are also seen in the background. There is another, highly significant, peak at 0.038 Hz; however, this is a known instrumental signal probably caused by feedback between the autoguider and the telescope positioning. We conclude that there is no significant periodicity between 0.05 and 0.5 Hz. A Lomb-Scargle periodogram of the full dataset is computationally too expensive, instead we calculated the Fast Fourier transform (FFT) of the full lightcurve sampled at 100 Hz (Fig. 9). The largest peak seen is again the systematic signal at 0.038 Hz (as already discussed) and we can exclude significant periodicity down to 50 Hz, which is the Nyquist frequency for a sampling rate of 100 Hz.
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and 3 Hz and added them to a constant component. In the case of the noise of the measurement error, that is about $5 \times 10^{-3}$ of the total flux, should have been detected at 99% confidence level in AA Tau. Since the noise level is about three times larger than in TW Hya correspondingly larger amplitudes are needed to detect multiple frequencies.

5.3. Wavelet analysis

If periodic oscillations exist in the accretion shocks of CTTS their frequency may be unstable. Therefore we performed a wavelet analysis of the lightcurves using the IDL function wv_applet. Evenly sampled lightcurves are required as input, thus missing data points were filled with repeated values of the last valid flux measurement. We employed different wavelet shapes, concentrating on Morlets of order 4–6. For TW Hya no signal with a significance above 90% is found in the wavelet analysis, while AA Tau shows a peak in the power spectrum after about 500 s, which is very likely caused by the dip in the lightcurve (Fig. 3). We showed above that this feature is related to changing seeing conditions.

5.4. Upper limits on periodicity

In TW Hya we do not detect periodic signals on the 99% confidence level, that is power level $P_N = 17.4$. Knowing the variance of the noise $\sigma_N^2$ we now invert eqn. 4 to calculate the minimum amplitude $A_m$ which should have been detected at that level, if present:

$$A_m = \sigma_N \left( \frac{N_0}{4P_N} - \frac{1}{2} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (5)$$

For the parameters of both lightcurves from TW Hya $A_m \approx 10\sigma_N$. The total noise level in the normalised lightcurves is about $10^{-2}$ and $5 \times 10^{-3}$ in the 340 nm and 380 nm observations of TW Hya, therefore the 99% confidence limit on $A_m$ is $10^{-3}$ and $5 \times 10^{-4}$, respectively for the presence of a single, sinusoidal mode in the frequency range 0.02–3 Hz in the 340 nm band and 0.1–3 Hz in the 380 nm band.

We performed Monte-Carlo simulations to estimate the detection efficiency for a combination of many periods. We used the time bins from the observations, keeping the irregularities introduced by the outlier rejection and simulated 1000 lightcurves, calculated Lomb-Scargle periodograms for each of them, and determined the largest peak in the power spectrum. For random measurement uncertainties of 1%, which corresponds to the observations of TW Hya in the 340 nm filter, we distributed multiple sinusoidal waves with random frequencies between 0.02 and 3 Hz and added them to a constant component. In the case of 40 different frequencies, each of them with an amplitude of 5% of the constant component, more than 90% of the simulations produced at least one peak in the power spectrum above the 10% FAP, that is higher than in our observations (85% of the simulations exceeded 99% FAP). For smaller amplitudes of only 2% of the continuum level the peaks in the power spectrum are smaller, still 92% of the simulations with 10 different frequencies produced at least one signal above the 10% FAP (91% of the simulations exceeded 99% FAP). Due to the larger signal and consequently lower uncertainties these values are even better for the data taken with the 380 nm filter.

Due to the lower signal-to-noise ratio, the data for AA Tau is not quite as sensitive. Equation (5) shows, that a signal of 0.13 of the measurement error, that is about $5 \times 10^{-3}$ of the total flux, should have been detected at 99% confidence level in AA Tau. This calculation gives only a rough estimate, because the cooling would change the temperature and thus the opacity structure, so the deeper layers become visible as the cooling proceeds. It suggests that rapid changes of the shock conditions will not be visible in optical and UV emission from the heated photosphere; however, detailed radiative transfer calculations are required to confirm this.

6. Discussion

Our analysis of the data presented above yields no significant periodicity in the searched frequency range. The limits set by this data on the maximum amplitude for variations are up to two orders of magnitude more sensitive than those Drake et al. (2009) derived for the X-ray lightcurve of TW Hya. However, the soft X-rays are formed directly in the shock cooling zone with a weak contribution from the stellar corona (Günther et al. 2007), therefore much stronger oscillations are expected in X-rays (Sacco et al. 2008) than in the UV wavelength range we probe. So far no time-dependent radiative-transfer calculations of accretion shocks in CTTS have been carried out. In stationary 1-D models the hot gas at the lower end of the accretion column and the heated photosphere below emit a significant portion of their energy around the Balmer-jump (Calvet & Gullbring 1998). In this wavelength region the accretion spot dominates over the stellar photosphere by one order of magnitude in TW Hya (Muzerolle et al. 2000). The heated photosphere is optically thick and can be treated as a black-body with temperatures between 5000 K and 8000 K (see Fig. 3 in Calvet & Gullbring 1998), its luminosity should thus be of the order of $10^{11}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$. The Calvet & Gullbring (1998) model refers to younger CTTS with a radius of 2 $R_\odot$, however the spectral type of TW Hya matches the model, thus we can apply eqn. 5 to obtain an order-of-magnitude estimate. The model implies a column mass of the heated photosphere down to the region, where the continuum is formed, of the order 10 g cm$^{-2}$, containing a thermal energy of $10^{13}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$, and suggests a timescale for cooling of the heated photosphere of 100 s. This calculation gives only a rough estimate, because the cooling would change the temperature and thus the opacity structure, so the deeper layers become visible as the cooling proceeds. It suggests that rapid changes of the shock conditions will not be visible in optical and UV emission from the heated photosphere; however, detailed radiative transfer calculations are required to confirm this.

According to the models the flow time of accreted matter through the post-shock zone is a few seconds. Incidentally, the flow time through the shock cooling zone matches the time we find in the autocorrelation function for TW Hya. The short term variability in the lightcurves shown in Figs. 1–3 is dominated by statistical fluctuations, so the source variability, which is detected in the autocorrelation function, is of the order of 1% of the continuum level at most. Several effects could be responsible for that: If the accretion flow is inhomogeneous and clumpy, this would cause the UV emission from the shock cooling zone to...
vary within seconds. The size of the accreted clumps is presumably small in comparison to the total area covered by accretion spots, which is estimated from 0.3% to 5–10% of the stellar surface for TW Hya, depending on the wavelength of the observation (Günther et al. 2007, and references therein). If the clumps switch on or off the emission from 1% of the accretion spot, then their characteristic size is $10^3$–$10^4$ km. The sound speed in the hot parts of the post-shock cooling zone is of the order 100 km s$^{-1}$, thus an area with radius $10^3$ km could be affected within a few seconds; in cooler regions of the post-shock cooling zone, where most of the optical emission originates, the temperature and thus the sound speed are about an order of magnitude lower. In this region only very small areas can respond coherently to disturbances.

Instead of inhomogeneous accretion the sound waves could also be caused by accretion shock instabilities. Three-dimensional simulations are needed to study this in more detail. But would the optical emission react fast enough to changing conditions, however they are caused? Even if it does not, the oscillations of the accretion shock could be seen in absorption. In the time-dependent simulations of Sacco et al. (2008) the accretion shock oscillates up and down. Thus, the pre-shock column density changes with time by $\approx 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$. This accreted material is not reprocessed in the hot shock and we apply the standard conversion between X-ray column density and optical absorption $A_V = N_{\text{H}}/2 \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2} = 0.05$ mag (Vuong et al. 2003). According to Fitzpatrick (1999) an additional absorption of $A_V = 0.05$ mag would reduce the flux at 3800 Å by 7%, much more than our upper limit on periodic variability. The numbers given are calculated for a line-of-sight along the accretion flow. TW Hya is seen pole-on, so this condition is valid for accretion at high latitude. The extinction changes with the viewing geometry; the argument does not apply if the accretion column is seen from the side.

While general variability is seen, clear periods are absent with significant limits down to 0.001 for the maximum amplitude of a pulsed fraction of the emission. It might simply be hard to observe oscillations in the optical, as discussed above, but Drake et al. (2009) also do not see a periodicity in the X-ray lightcurve of TW Hya. Those authors present a detailed discussion on the expected period of the oscillations, the effect of multiple accretion streams and the influence of the magnetic field, arguing that the supporting pressure of the magnetic field has to be taken into account.

Different studies of time-dependent accretion shocks concentrate on different aspects. Koldoba et al. (2008) present 1D magneto-hydrodynamic models of super-Alfvénic accretion flows with infall velocities around 100 km s$^{-1}$ and different incident angles. In their simulations strong magnetic fields lead to a stable accretion flow. They develop a stability criterion which depends on the angle between the field and the stellar surface. However, this criterion has not been verified for higher infall velocities. If it is still valid for velocities of 525 km s$^{-1}$ and densities of $10^{-12}$ g cm$^{-3}$, as measured from X-ray spectroscopy in TW Hya (Kastner et al. 2002; Stelzer & Schmitt 2004; Günther et al. 2007; Raassen 2009; Brickhouse et al. 2010), then a magnetic field of 100 G is sufficient to keep the shocks stable for an accretion flow perpendicular to the stellar surface. Yet, it is not clear if this is also valid for the stronger magnetic fields usually measured in the accretion spot regions of CTTS (Valenti & Johns-Krull 2004; Donati et al. 2008), which indicate sub-Alfvénic flows. Orlando et al. (2010) performed 2D simulations of accretion perpendicular to the stellar surface including heat conduction in both the super-Alfvénic and the sub-Alfvénic regime. They confirm the importance of the magnetic field, and find that shocks with high $\beta$ (the ratio between gas pressure and magnetic pressure) become stable through magnetic damping for super-Alfvénic flows. Orlando et al. (2010) observe quasi-periodic shock oscillations for cases with larger magnetic fields when the flow becomes sub-Alfvénic and chaotic behaviour without periodicity for even weaker magnetic fields. Orlando et al. (2010) also compare their results to 1D simulations with a similar setup by Sacco et al. (2008) and find that 2D models predict a smaller amplitude and higher frequency than 1D models. For large values of $\beta$ the magnetic field cannot confine the accretion stream to a funnel and matter flows out side-ways, disturbing the surrounding stellar atmosphere.

Although Koldoba et al. (2008) and Orlando et al. (2010) predict stability in different regimes, they both agree that there are magnetic field configurations that inhibit shock oscillations in the super-Alfvénic regime. Only Orlando et al. (2010) probe sub-Alfvénic flows, but they test less parameters than Koldoba et al. (2008), so we cannot exclude that similar stability regions exist for sub-Alfvénic flows. So, we may not see periodic oscillations of the accretion shock, not because of confusion of a larger number of accretion streams, but because the shock is intrinsically stable.

\section*{7. Summary}

We presented observations of TW Hya with SALT in two filters centred just above and below the Balmer jump with a timing resolution of 0.14 s and observations of AA Tau with the single-photon counting device OPTIMA. TW Hya shows an autocorrelation on timescales of a few seconds, however we detect no periodic signal in TW Hya or AA Tau. We place a 99% confidence upper limit on the pulsed fraction of the lightcurves as 0.001 for TW Hya in the frequency range 0.02–3 Hz in the 340 nm filter and 0.1–3 Hz in the 380 nm filter. The corresponding value for AA Tau is an amplitude of 0.005 for 0.02–50 Hz. We simulate lightcurves to calculate upper limits for scenarios with multiple independent accretion shocks with different periods. The timescale seen in the autocorrelation function is consistent with the flow time through the accretion shock and the dimension of affected area as estimated from the amplitudes in the lightcurve. Our limits on periodicity are so stringent, that we discuss the magnetic field needed to stabilise the accretion shock.
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