Labour epidural analgesia and anti-infectious management of the neonate: a meta-analysis*
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Abstract

Background: A known side effect of labour epidural analgesia (EDA) is maternal fever. It is unclear whether this has effects on the anti-infectious management of the neonate.

Methods: A systematic literature search and a hand search of abstract publications were conducted. Studies reporting sepsis evaluation or antibiotic treatment were further assessed. For meta-analysis, risk ratio (RR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) were calculated using the random effects model.

Results: Five relevant articles reporting on 4667 parturients were identified; three were observational studies and two were randomised controlled trials (RCT). The RR for sepsis workup of all studies analysed together was 2.58 (95% CI, 1.06–6.27, P=0.04). The RR for antibiotic treatment of the neonate was 2.76 (95% CI, 1.20–6.31, P=0.02). When considering the RCTs alone, the RRs for sepsis evaluation and antimicrobial treatment were still significantly elevated.

Discussion: Our data suggest that EDA-related maternal hyperthermia results in an increased likelihood of sepsis workup and antibiotic treatment of the infant. A crucial question is whether EDA-related maternal hyperthermia is truly infectious. If not, administration of antibiotics would not be justified and may be dangerous.
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Introduction

Epidural analgesia (EDA) provides reliable pain relief in labouring women but is associated with maternal fever [7]. As demonstrated in a meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials (RCTs), the risk is increased more than two-fold [1]. Infection is difficult to diagnose during labour because traditional markers of infection including leucocyte count [10], C-reactive protein [17], or procalcitonin [12] tend to be elevated and are not useful. Abdominal tenderness may be abolished by EDA, and transvaginal uterine cultures may be contaminated with physiologic vaginal germs. Therefore, maternal fever may flag infants at an increased risk of infection. We asked whether EDA is associated with rates of sepsis workup and antibiotic treatment in the neonate. A systematic literature search followed by a meta-analysis of the available evidence was performed.

Methods

A systematic search in PubMed and Embase was done with the following search words (MeSH and free text): “epidural or peridural analgesia” or “anesthesia and labour and antibiotics or antimicrobial therapy” or “sepsis evaluation or sepsis work up” or “sepsis work-up”. Additionally, a hand-search was performed of abstract publications of the annual congresses of the society of obstetrics and perinatology as well as of the American and European societies of anaesthesiology.

To capture all relevant evidence, any study comparing parturients who received labour EDA with a control group receiving no EDA was eligible for inclusion regardless of study type (blinded or non-blinded) and publication type (full paper or abstract publication). The neonatal outcome parameters antibiotic administration, diagnosis of sepsis, and sepsis workup were assessed.
The random effects model was used for the meta-analyses of these dichotomous parameters. The pooled risk ratio (RR) and 95% confidence interval (95% CI) were calculated. Review Manager (RevMan) version 5.0 (The Nordic Cochrane Centre, The Cochrane Collaboration, Copenhagen, 2008) was used. We performed a sensitivity analysis by comparing the results of observational studies and RCTs.

Results

Our literature search identified 48 articles, of which five studies [2, 9, 11, 13, 15] provided relevant data and were used for further analysis (Figure 1). Data of 4667 parturients were reported in the five studies. Three studies [9, 11, 13] including 3838 patients were observational and two studies [2, 15] of 829 parturients were RCTs. Table 1 contains the study details. The paper by Lieberman et al. [13] was a secondary analysis of an active labour management trial that was previously published [6]. Neither the paper by Lieberman et al. nor the previous report detailed the analgetic procedure of epidural and non-epidural groups.

Fever was defined as temperature >38°C in three studies [2, 11, 13], ≥38°C in the study by Philip et al. [15], and >37.8°C in the report by Gonen et al. [9].

The RR for sepsis workup of all studies together was 2.58 (95% CI, 1.06–6.27; P=0.04; Figure 2A). Eliminating the RCT [15] from the analysis, the RR was 2.50 (95% CI, 0.75–8.38), which was no longer significant (P=0.14). The RR of the RCT [15] alone was 1.51 (95% CI, 1.12–2.04).

The RR for antibiotic treatment of the neonate was 2.76 (95% CI, 1.20–6.31, P=0.02) when considering all studies (Figure 2B). The RR of the observational studies alone was 5.30 (95% CI, 3.42–8.22, P=0.00001) and was higher than the RR of the two RCTs [2, 15] (1.61; 95% CI, 1.14–2.27, P=0.006).

Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first systematic review and meta-analysis addressing the question whether labour EDA affects anti-infectious management of the infant.

Figure 1 Flow diagram.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type of report</th>
<th>Type of study</th>
<th>EDA</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>EDA</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Sepsis evaluation</th>
<th>Antibiotic therapy</th>
<th>Temperature monitoring</th>
<th>Sepsis criteria</th>
<th>Obstetric characteristics</th>
<th>Mode of delivery</th>
<th>EDA (%)</th>
<th>Control (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kaul</td>
<td>Anesth Analg. 2001;93: 986–90</td>
<td>Full paper</td>
<td>Observational</td>
<td>Bupi 0.125%+ Fent 2 µg/mL or Ropi 0.1%+Fent 2 µg/mL CEI, 10–12 mL/h</td>
<td>2 mg butorphanol IV or 5–10 mg nalbuphine IV</td>
<td>CBC, blood, urine, spinal fluid cultures</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>Tympanic</td>
<td>Definite sepsis proven by a positive blood, urine, or cerebrospinal fluid culture</td>
<td>Presumed sepsis, as shown by only indirect evidence of sepsis including immature polymorpho-nuclear white cells (band cells; &gt;10% of the total white count), cardiovascular instability requiring inotropic support, or pneumonia (verified by chest radiograph) with no direct positive culture; absence of sepsis, as evidenced by negative cultures and rapidly improving neonatal condition</td>
<td>Primiparous women</td>
<td>Spontaneous vaginal delivery</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gonen</td>
<td>Am J Perinatol. 2000;17: 127–30</td>
<td>Full paper</td>
<td>Observational</td>
<td>Bupi 0.125%+ Fent 1 µg/mL CEI, 10 mL/h</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>CBC, blood culture</td>
<td>Amp+Gent Oral for 48 h</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>67.5%</td>
<td>Nullipara</td>
<td>Induction of labour</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: EDA refers to epidural analgesia.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type of report</th>
<th>Type of study</th>
<th>EDA</th>
<th>Control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lieberman</td>
<td>Pediatrics.</td>
<td>Full paper</td>
<td>Observational ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>CBC, blood culture (lumbar puncture)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philip</td>
<td>Anesthesiology.</td>
<td>Full paper</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Bupi 0.125% + Fent 2 µg/mL CEI, infusion speed not given</td>
<td>50 mg meperidine + 25 mg promethazine IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campbell</td>
<td>SOAP, 2009 Annual Meeting, Abstract Supplement, 9905, A9</td>
<td>Abstract</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Bupi 0.08% + Fent 1.67 µg/mL PCEA</td>
<td>Blood cultures, spinal fluid cultures</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CEI=Continuous epidural infusion, PCEA=Patient-controlled epidural infusion, PCIA=Patient-controlled intravenous analgesia, Bupi=Bupivacaine, Ropi=Ropivacaine, Fent=Fentanyl, CBC=Complete blood count, Amp=Ampicillin, Gent=Gentamycin, IV=Intravenous, ND=No details given.

Nulliparous women, singleton term pregnancies

Induction of labour 28% 12%

Nullipara, singleton cephalic gestations at term 55% 53%

Spontaneous active labour

Healthy, nulliparous women, singleton pregnancies
Although the number of studies reporting on our outcome parameters of interest is low, 4553 parturients are a sufficiently high number of subjects to ensure a reliable result. Labour EDA is associated with maternal fever [1], and this may lead to the diagnosis of infection. We found that the RR for antibiotic treatment of the neonate was significantly elevated. This was true for an analysis of all studies, observational studies, and the RCT together. The RCTs alone also found a significantly increased RR.

Moreover, the infants of mothers treated with EDA had an increased RR to be subjected to a sepsis workup. This finding is significant for the analysis of all studies together as well as the data provided in the RCT.

It is still a matter of debate whether EDA-associated fever is infectious or not. Dashe et al. [4] concluded that fever in parturients receiving EDA is a consequence of true infection; by contrast, others [8, 16] attributed maternal hyperthermia to a non-infectious inflammatory state. In observational studies, women receive EDA at their request and these patients might be those who have risk factors for fever, including prolonged labour and premature rupture of membrane. By contrast, an RCT randomly assigning parturients to the EDA or the intravenous analgesia group reduces this risk of allocation bias. Our results appear robust because the analysis of all studies together as well as the data of the RCTs alone unambiguously reveal an increased likelihood of sepsis workup and antibiotic treatment in the infant.

The studies included in our analysis date from 1997 to 2000 and thus are more than 10 years old. One might ask if today’s management would be different. Maternal fever is still considered a cornerstone for the diagnosis of chorioamnionitis [5]. According to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention guidelines [3], chorioamnionitis is diagnosed clinically and symptoms may be unspecific. If this diagnosis is made, the neonate should, according to the guidelines, be treated with antibiotics. Therefore, it is very likely that, nowadays, infants of mothers with hyperthermia during labour EDA would also receive antibiotics. In clinical practice, maternal fever alone, i.e., without the presumed diagnosis of chorioamnionitis, may also prompt the treatment of the infant with antibiotics.

The diagnosis of sepsis was based on clinical criteria that were not given in detail in four of the five studies included into our review. However, the lack of clear criteria to be used for diagnosing sepsis may reflect daily clinical practice. Also, whether to administer antibiotics to the neonate is a clinical decision and may vary between institutions and between reports.

Antibiotic treatment early in life may have long-lasting negative effects. Asthma incidence is slightly elevated in children exposed to antibiotics during pregnancy or the first year of life [14].

Our analysis clearly showed that EDA of the mother leads to an increased likelihood of sepsis workup and antibiotic treatment of the infant. A crucial question in this context is whether fever secondary to EDA is of infectious origin or not. If not, antibiotic treatment would not be justified and would unnecessarily expose the infant to side effects.
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