Serum mesothelin and megakaryocyte potentiating factor in pancreatic and biliary cancers
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Abstract

Background: Tumor mesothelin overexpression is present in different malignancies, including the majority of patients with pancreatic or biliary cancers. The objective of this study was to evaluate the use of shed serum mesothelin and megakaryocyte potentiating factor (MPF) concentrations as biomarkers for these cancers.

Methods: A total of 151 individuals, divided into five groups, were retrospectively analyzed: healthy donors (n=15), patients with benign non-pancreatic conditions (n=52), benign pancreatic conditions (n=33), biliary carcinoma (n=9), and pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (n=42). Mesothelin and MPF concentrations were measured in serum with the Mesomark™ and Human MPF ELISA, respectively.

Results: Mesothelin and MPF concentrations did not significantly differ among the five individual participant groups (p=0.34, p=0.33, respectively), nor did any other combination and pair-wise comparison of the participant groups demonstrate a significant difference in biomarker concentrations. In patients with pancreatic cancer, mesothelin or MPF concentrations were not associated with tumor stage (p=0.87, p=0.48, respectively) or differentiation grade (p=0.73, p=0.52, respectively).

Conclusions: Serum mesothelin and MPF concentrations, measured with standard available ELISAs, were not specific for benign or pancreatic disease. Both biomarkers were not elevated in patients with pancreatic or biliary cancers, and consequently do not appear to be useful biomarkers for these malignancies.
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Introduction

Pancreatic cancer is the fourth-leading cause of cancer mortality in the US, with an incidence of 43,140 cases and 36,800 deaths estimated in 2010 (1). Biliary cancer is markedly less common, with approximately 9000 new cases in the US per year. Because of the non-specific symptoms of pancreaticobiliary cancer, the vast majority of patients have advanced disease at presentation (2, 3). In patients with pancreatic cancer, fewer than 20% present with localized disease amenable to surgical resection. In these patients, median survival is around 20 months, while in those with unresectable disease, prognosis is dismal (3). Patients with biliary carcinoma frequently experience a relapse, despite surgery (2). In those with advanced disease, a combination treatment of cisplatin and gemcitabine results in a median survival of approximately 12 months (4).

As early diagnosis of a still localized tumor is thought to improve patient outcome, there is a critical need for tools that shorten the diagnostic delay. Due of their relative non-invasiveness and low cost, serum biomarkers are an attractive tool for such purpose. CA 19-9 is currently the only serum biomarker which demonstrated some clinical utility in pancreaticobiliary cancer, as a tool to help monitor disease activity (5). However, since CA 19-9 is usually not elevated in early stage patients, it is not useful in diagnosing early disease (6, 7).

Serum mesothelin and megakaryocyte potentiating factor (MPF) are promising blood protein biomarkers for mesothelioma and ovarian cancer (8–11). Both biomarkers originate from the mesothelin gene, which encodes a precursor protein that is cleaved into a soluble 31-kDa fraction, MPF, and a membrane-bound 40-kDa glycoprotein, mesothelin (12). The latter can be cleaved and shed into the bloodstream together with MPF (13).

Membrane-bound mesothelin is normally present on the mesothelial cells lining the pleura, peritoneum and pericardium, but is highly expressed in several human cancers including mesothelioma, ovarian, and pancreaticobiliary...
cancers (12, 14, 15). Given the presence of elevated mesothelin and MPF concentrations in mesothelioma and ovarian cancer (8–11), these biomarkers might also prove useful in pancreatic and biliary cancers. One recent study indeed described elevated serum mesothelin concentrations in benign and malignant pancreatic disease (16). That report, however, lacked a sufficient number of relevant controls, and the clinical value of both serum mesothelin and MPF in pancreatic cancers remains unclear.

The aim of this research study was to evaluate the value of serum mesothelin and MPF in pancreaticobiliary cancer, by comparing biomarker concentrations of these patients with those of other relevant control groups.

Materials and methods

Study participants

Between 2004 and 2006, patients were recruited at the Department of General and Visceral Surgery, University Medical Center Goettingen, Germany. Upon enrollment, patients were stratified into four groups according to diagnosis: 1) benign non-pancreatic conditions, 2) benign pancreatic conditions, 3) biliary carcinoma, and 4) pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. Blood sampling was done at diagnosis or prior to surgery, whenever relevant. Tumor staging of the patients with pancreatic cancer was done according to the American Joint Committee on Cancer staging guidelines (17). Specimens were collected under research protocols approved by an Institutional Review Board, and signed informed consent was obtained from all participants. In addition, serum samples from healthy donors were commercially obtained from Bioreclamation (East Meadow, NY, USA). All samples were kept at –80°C until use.

Biomarker assays

Serum mesothelin and MPF concentrations were measured with the Mesomark™ ELISA (Fujirebio Diagnostics, Inc., Malvern, PA, USA) and the Human MPF ELISA (Medical & Biological Laboratories Co., Ltd., Nagoya, Japan), respectively, following manufacturers’ instructions (18, 19). Assays were run blinded to the sample data.

Statistical analysis

Continuous values were reported as median with 25th and 75th percentiles. Differences in mesothelin and MPF concentrations among groups of participants were evaluated with the Kruskal-Wallis and Wilcoxon rank sum tests. Groups were combined for analyses when participants were evaluated with the Kruskal-Wallis and pair-wise comparison of participant groups demonstrated significant differences in biomarker concentrations (data not shown). However, when differentiating the 51 patients with pancreaticobiliary cancer from the 15 healthy donors, there was a trend towards higher mesothelin concentrations in the former group (p=0.05). The difference in MPF concentrations between both groups displayed a similar trend, although less pronounced (p=0.11).

Table 1  Participant characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Number (female)</th>
<th>Median age (P25–P75), years</th>
<th>Median mesothelin (P25–P75), nmol/L</th>
<th>Median MPF (P25–P75), ng/mL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Healthy donors</td>
<td>15 (11)</td>
<td>31 (23–39)</td>
<td>0.58 (0.15–0.72)</td>
<td>8.33 (5.20–10.81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benign non-pancreatic condition</td>
<td>52 (27)</td>
<td>47 (38–60)</td>
<td>0.71 (0.44–0.98)</td>
<td>9.39 (5.66–13.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benign pancreatic condition</td>
<td>33 (13)</td>
<td>59 (51–68)</td>
<td>0.69 (0.47–0.95)</td>
<td>7.52 (5.30–11.67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biliary cancer</td>
<td>9 (6)</td>
<td>64 (63–76)</td>
<td>0.71 (0.53–1.18)</td>
<td>7.93 (6.69–14.65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pancreatic cancer</td>
<td>42 (21)</td>
<td>67 (60–71)</td>
<td>0.66 (0.52–0.94)</td>
<td>8.94 (6.57–13.43)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P25–75: 25th and 75th percentile. MPF, megakaryocyte potentiating factor.

Results

Participant characteristics

A total of 151 participants was retrospectively collected (Table 1): 15 healthy donors; 52 patients with benign non-pancreatic condition, including hernia, diverticulitis, gallstones, Graves Disease and ileus; 33 patients with a benign pancreatic condition, including chronic pancreatitis, adenoma and acute pancreatitis; nine patients with biliary cancer; and 42 with pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. The majority of the patients with pancreatic cancer had stage III-IV disease at inclusion (Table 2). The healthy donors were significantly younger than each of the other patient groups (p<0.001) (Table 1).

Biomarker concentrations are not elevated in pancreaticobiliary cancer

The mesothelin and MPF concentrations of each of the five participant groups are presented in Table 1 and Figure 1. When using data from all 151 participants, mesothelin concentrations correlated moderately well with MPF concentrations (r=0.62; p<0.001). Mesothelin and MPF concentrations did not significantly differ among the five individual participant groups (p=0.34, p=0.33, respectively). Furthermore, when comparing mesothelin and MPF concentrations of all 100 controls jointly with those of the 51 patients with pancreaticobiliary cancer, no significant difference was observed (p=0.31, p=0.16, respectively). Not a single other combination and pair-wise comparison of participant groups demonstrated significant differences in biomarker concentrations (data not shown). However, when differentiating the 51 patients with pancreaticobiliary cancer from the 15 healthy donors, there was a trend towards higher mesothelin concentrations in the former group (p=0.05).
Table 2: Pancreatic cancer patient characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Covariate</th>
<th>Stratification</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Median mesothelin (P25–P75), nmol/L</th>
<th>Median MPF (P25–P75), ng/mL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stage</td>
<td>IB–IIB</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.76 (0.49–1.01)</td>
<td>8.74 (6.39–12.45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>III–IV</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.65 (0.54–0.89)</td>
<td>9.14 (6.74–16.34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor grade</td>
<td>1–2</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.67 (0.51–0.98)</td>
<td>8.74 (6.06–13.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.65 (0.52–0.78)</td>
<td>8.86 (7.36–18.90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NOS</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.83 (0.45–1.17)</td>
<td>9.14 (5.71–20.91)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P25–75: 25th and 75th percentile. MPF, megakaryocyte potentiating factor. NOS, not otherwise specified.

Biomarker concentrations do not differ according to pancreatic tumor stage or differentiation grade

When stratifying patients with pancreatic cancer according to tumor stage (IB–IIB vs. III–IV), mesothelin and MPF concentrations did not differ significantly between both groups (p=0.87, p=0.48, respectively) (Table 2). Similarly, when dividing these patients according to tumor grade (1–2 vs. 3), mesothelin and MPF concentrations did not differ significantly between the two groups (p=0.73, p=0.52, respectively) (Table 2).

Discussion

This study evaluated the use of serum mesothelin and MPF concentrations as biomarkers for pancreaticobiliary cancer. Biomarker concentrations were compared in patients with pancreatic and biliary cancers, benign pancreatic disease, benign non-pancreatic disease and healthy donors. Due to the retrospective nature of our study, these analyses were constrained by the number of available samples, but the study population was sufficiently large to obtain reliable results.

In our series, neither mesothelin nor MPF differentiated patients with pancreaticobiliary cancer from those with a benign pancreatic condition. For mesothelin, this was in agreement with a recent report by Johnston et al. (16), who compared concentrations in 74 patients with pancreatic cancer, five patients with benign pancreatic disease and five healthy donors. Interestingly and similar to the trend in our series, the five healthy individuals had significantly lower mesothelin values than the 74 patients with pancreatic cancer (16). The latter observation, although based on very small numbers, prompted Johnston et al. to state that mesothelin might be a useful biomarker for both benign and malignant pancreatic disease (16).

Our additional findings, however, do not support this statement. First, we found that mesothelin and MPF concentrations were unable to differentiate patients with a benign non-pancreatic disease from those with a benign or malignant pancreatic condition. This indicated that both biomarkers are not specific for pancreatic disease. Second, the observed trend towards higher biomarker concentrations in patients with pancreaticobiliary cancer, as compared to healthy donors, should be interpreted with caution. Mesothelin and MPF concentrations are indeed positively correlated with age (20), and there was a large difference in age between those two groups. As such, the observed difference in biomarker concentrations was likely age-related, rather than associated with the presence of a tumor. It is possible that this was also the case in the study of Johnston et al. (16). This, however, cannot be verified, as the age of their five healthy individuals was not reported. Either way, from a clinical point of view, a diagnostic biomarker should primarily be able to differentiate individuals at risk, e.g., those with a benign disease, from those with cancer — which was not the case according to our data and that of Johnston et al. (16). Altogether, there is little evidence that mesothelin and MPF are useful for the diagnosis or screening of both benign and malignant pancreatic conditions.

Our data showed that the absence of any differential predictive capability for mesothelin and MPF was simply because patients with pancreaticobiliary cancer lacked elevated biomarker concentrations. The median mesothelin and MPF concentrations of our different participant groups were indeed similar to those of healthy controls in a previous report (10). The mesothelin concentrations we measured cannot be compared with those reported by Johnston et al., as they used a non-commercial version of the Mesomark™ ELISA (16).

Despite the absence of any diagnostic value, serum mesothelin and MPF could prove useful in other fields of pancreaticobiliary cancer management. A recent study, for example, indicated that the co-expression of mesothelin and CA125 is an indicator of poor outcome in pancreatic ductal carcinoma (21). In addition to prognosis, serum mesothelin and MPF concentrations have also shown to correlate with tumor stage and response to therapy in mesothelioma (10, 22, 23). In our series, however, biomarker concentrations were not associated with pancreatic tumor burden or differentiation. This observation likely limits the use of serum mesothelin or MPF in any of the above-mentioned fields.

Further research should focus on the apparent discrepancy between the previously reported high mesothelin expression in pancreaticobiliary tumors and the absence of elevated mesothelin and MPF concentrations in serum. The latter is not consistent with findings in other mesothelin-overexpressing malignancies, including mesothelioma or ovarian cancer (8–11, 24). Possible explanations include the more limited tumor bulk in pancreaticobiliary cancers, and differences in the shedding mechanisms of mesothelin and MPF between malignancies. If the latter is the case, the shedding
residues could have a different conformation, depending on the malignancy. The development of antibodies that detect different epitopes of mesothelin and MPF might consequently lead to novel insights. Of interest, a previous small study in mesothelioma showed that only approximately half of the patients whose tumors were mesothelin positive had positive mesothelin concentrations in the serum (24). In epithelioid mesothelioma and ovarian carcinoma, a significant number of patients indeed lack elevated mesothelin concentrations in serum or pleural fluid (8, 10, 24, 25). Elucidating the mechanisms behind these observations might also help to shed light on the absence of elevated serum mesothelin concentrations in pancreaticobiliary cancers.

In conclusion, serum mesothelin and MPF concentrations, measured with standard available ELISAs, were not specific for benign or pancreatic disease. In contrast to other mesothelin-overexpressing malignancies, both biomarkers were not elevated in patients with pancreatic or biliary cancers. Neither mesothelin nor MPF consequently appear to be useful biomarkers for these malignancies.
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